

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/669,396	Applicant(s) BREALEY ET AL.
	Examiner QING-YUAN WU	Art Unit 2194

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) QING-YUAN WU. (3) _____.

(2) Paul Schramm. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 August 2009 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

1-4, 6-15 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

"Problem Solving, Abstraction, and Design Using C++" to Friedman et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amendment to overcome the prior art of records and suggest incorporating limitations recited in applicant's specification as disclosed specifically in pgs. 7-8, paragraphs 32-33 in to the independent claims.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)